International Journal of Advanced Engineering and Management Research

Vol. 11, No. 02; 2026

ISSN: 2456-3676

Beyond Mechanistic Cognition: Toward a Post-linear Framework of Cognitive Development

[1]Dr. Robb Shawe, Ph.D., M.S. (Co-Lead Author)
[2]Aslak Molvaer (Co-Lead Author)
[1]Capitol Technology University, Department of Cyber Leadership, 11301 Springfield Road, Laurel, MD 20708, USA

doi.org/10.51505/ijaemr.2026.11228 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.51505/ijaemr.2026.11228

Received: Mar 24, 2026 Accepted: Mar 31, 2026 Online Published: Apr 09, 2026

Abstract

Dominant models of cognition have been shaped by mechanistic and reductionist assumptions that conceptualize mental processes as linear, decomposable, and computationally analogous systems. While these models have provided analytical clarity and methodological rigor, they encounter limitations when applied to environments characterized by complexity, uncertainty, and continuous interaction.

This article introduces a post-linear framework of cognition that reconceptualizes cognitive processes as dynamic, relational, and temporally integrated. Rather than treating cognition as a sequence of discrete operations, the framework emphasizes the interaction of multiple cognitive potentials operating simultaneously across time and context.

The analysis examines the limitations of mechanistic cognition, including its reliance on linearity, modular decomposition, and machine-based metaphors. It then develops an alternative perspective grounded in four principles: superposition, entanglement, relational embedding, and developmental trajectory.

The article argues that cognition should be understood as a probabilistic and emergent field rather than a deterministic processing system. This shift enables a more comprehensive understanding of how cognition operates under real-world conditions and provides a foundation for analyzing cognitive vulnerability, adaptation, and resilience.

1. Introduction

Mechanistic and computational models of the mind have profoundly influenced modern cognitive science. These models conceptualize cognition as a system that processes inputs, performs operations, and produces outputs in a structured and predictable manner. Such an approach has enabled significant advances in experimental psychology, artificial intelligence, and neuroscience.

However, the environments in which cognition now operates have evolved. Digitally mediated systems, complex information environments, and continuous interaction between individuals and technological infrastructures introduce conditions that exceed the explanatory scope of linear and decomposable models (Zuboff, 2019).

Under these conditions, cognition cannot be adequately understood as a sequence of isolated processes. Instead, it must be examined as a dynamic system in which perception, memory, anticipation, and interpretation interact continuously.

This article argues that a paradigmatic shift is required. It introduces a **post-linear framework of cognition** that extends beyond mechanistic assumptions and provides a more comprehensive account of cognitive processes under conditions of complexity and uncertainty. This article extends the Quantum-Cognitive Maturity Model (QCM²) by establishing its theoretical paradigm, situating cognition as a dynamic, relational, and temporally integrated system that underpins cognitive maturity, reflexive resilience, and structured influence within complex information environments.

Subsequent articles in this research program extend this foundation by examining how cognition interacts with structured information environments, how influence operates through cognitive exposure and memetic propagation, and how these dynamics necessitate a broader post-linear understanding of cognitive development. Furthermore, this study adopts a conceptual, interdisciplinary analytical approach, integrating cognitive science, organizational theory, and the information systems literature to examine cognition as a dynamic, relational system within complex environments.

2. The Mechanistic Paradigm

2.1 Core Assumptions

Three foundational assumptions characterize the mechanistic paradigm:

1. **Decomposability**
2. Cognitive processes can be divided into discrete components such as perception, memory, and reasoning.
3. **Linearity**
4. Cognition operates as a sequential process: input, processing, output.
5. **Machine Analogy**
6. Cognitive systems are modeled using metaphors derived from mechanical or computational systems.

These assumptions have enabled experimental precision and formal modeling (Simon, 1991). However, they also constrain how cognition is conceptualized.

2.2 Contributions of the Paradigm

Mechanistic models have contributed significantly to:

- decision theory
- cognitive psychology
- artificial intelligence

They provide clarity in controlled environments and support predictive modeling.

2.3 Emerging Limitations

Despite their strengths, mechanistic models encounter limitations under conditions of complexity:

- difficulty accounting for ambiguity and contradiction
- inability to capture emergent cognitive phenomena
- limited representation of temporal integration
- insufficient consideration of environmental context

These limitations suggest that mechanistic cognition provides a partial rather than a comprehensive account.

3. Limits of Linear and Reductionist Cognition

3.1 Reductionism and Loss of Emergence

By decomposing cognition into isolated components, reductionist models overlook emergent properties arising from interactions. Phenomena such as creativity, paradox, and rapid contextual adaptation cannot be fully explained through modular analysis.

3.2 Linearity and Temporal Compression

Sequential models fail to capture the simultaneity of cognitive processes. Human cognition integrates past experience, present perception, and anticipated futures continuously (Schacter & Addis, 2007).

Under pressure, this temporal integration may collapse, leading to short-term, reactive decision-making.

3.3 Isolation from Context

Mechanistic models often treat cognition as a closed system. However, cognition is embedded within social, technological, and informational environments that shape interpretation (Weick, 1995).

Vol. 11, No. 02; 2026

ISSN: 2456-3676

3.4 Metaphorical Constraints

Machine-based metaphors shape not only how cognition is described but also what is studied. Phenomena that do not align with these metaphors may be underrepresented or excluded.

4. Toward a Post-Linear Framework

4.1 Conceptual Shift

This shift reflects a transition from reductionist analytical models toward integrated, systems-based approaches to cognition. The proposed framework reconceptualizes cognition as:

- dynamic rather than static,
- relational rather than isolated,
- temporally integrated rather than sequential.

This shift reflects the need to account for real-world cognitive complexity.

4.2 Core Principles

4.2.1 Superposition

Cognition involves multiple simultaneous interpretations rather than a single resolved state. Individuals often hold competing perspectives that are evaluated and refined over time.

4.2.2 Entanglement

Cognitive processes are interconnected. Memory, perception, and anticipation interact continuously, shaping interpretation in non-linear ways.

4.2.3 Relational Embedding

Cognition is shaped by interaction with external environments, including social systems and technological infrastructures (Zuboff, 2019).

4.2.4 Developmental Trajectory

Cognitive capacity evolves through experience, reflection, and adaptation. Development reflects changes in how cognition operates rather than the accumulation of knowledge alone (Argyris & Schön, 1978).

5. Domains of Paradigmatic Extension

5.1 Decision-Making

Traditional dual-process models distinguish between intuitive and analytical systems (Kahneman, 2011). The post-linear framework suggests that these processes often co-occur rather than operate sequentially.

ISSN: 2456-3676

5.2 Memory

Memory is not a static storage system but a reconstructive process shaped by current context and future expectations (Schacter & Addis, 2007).

5.3 Temporal Cognition

Cognition operates across multiple temporal dimensions simultaneously. This enables anticipation, reflection, and long-term planning.

5.4 Identity

Identity is dynamic and relational, shaped by interaction with social and informational environments rather than fixed internal structures.

6. Implications of the Paradigmatic Shift

6.1 Continuity

Mechanistic models remain valuable for analyzing stable and well-defined processes.

6.2 Extension

The post-linear framework extends analysis to include:

- ambiguity
- interaction
- temporal dynamics

6.3 Transformation

Cognition is redefined as a probabilistic and emergent field. Outcomes arise from interaction rather than deterministic computation.

6.4 Applied Implications

This shift has implications for:

- decision-making
- organizational behavior
- cybersecurity and information environments

It enables the identification of cognitive vulnerabilities and supports the development of adaptive resilience.

7. Conclusion

Mechanistic models of cognition have provided important insights but are insufficient for understanding cognition under conditions of complexity, uncertainty, and continuous interaction. The post-linear framework introduced in this article reconceptualizes cognition as a dynamic, relational, and temporally integrated system. Incorporating principles of superposition, entanglement, relational embedding, and developmental trajectory provides a more comprehensive account of cognitive processes.

This perspective enables a deeper understanding of how cognition operates in real-world environments and establishes a foundation for future research on cognitive resilience, adaptation, and influence.

This analysis is intended as a conceptual and interdisciplinary contribution rather than an empirical claim. The framework is designed to support future empirical investigation and applied research across multiple domains, including cognitive science, organizational systems, and digitally mediated environments.

Authorship Statement

Mr. Aslak Molvær originated the initial concept of the Quantum Cognitive Maturity Model (QCM²). Dr. Robb Shawe substantially expanded, structured, and operationalized the framework through an interdisciplinary synthesis of cognitive science, systems theory, organizational learning, cybersecurity governance, and socio-technical analysis.

Dr. Shawe authored the four foundational articles that establish the theoretical, structural, and analytical architecture of the QCM² research program. He also produced major revisions to the QCM² manuscript (Revisions 16–19), including conceptual development, narrative integration, structural refinement, and alignment with contemporary scholarly discourse.

Both authors contributed to the analytical development of the framework, the integration of interdisciplinary perspectives, and the final review and approval of each manuscript.

Author Note and Research Program Statement

The Quantum-Cognitive Maturity Model (QCM²) originates from an initial conceptual idea developed by Mr. Aslak Molvær. The formal scholarly articulation, theoretical expansion, and interdisciplinary integration of the model were advanced through a coordinated research program co-developed with Dr. Robb Shawe.

Across this program, Dr. Shawe authored the four foundational articles that establish the theoretical, structural, and analytical architecture of QCM². These works introduce and elaborate the model's core constructs, including reflexive resilience, cognitive exposure, memetic propagation, and post-linear cognition. His contributions include the development of the model's conceptual boundaries, the integration of cognitive science with systems theory, organizational learning, cybersecurity governance, and socio-technical analysis, and the refinement of the framework's analytical coherence. Dr. Shawe also produced major revisions to the QCM² manuscript (Revisions 16–19), shaping its narrative structure, conceptual clarity, and alignment with contemporary scholarly discourse.

Mr. Molvær contributed the originating conceptual insight for QCM² and participated in the collaborative development of the framework. Together, the authors integrated interdisciplinary perspectives to position QCM² as a structured, human-centered approach for examining cognition, resilience, and influence within complex information environments.

This manuscript forms part of a unified research program that advances QCM² as a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding cognition as a dynamic, context-dependent system shaped by interaction with digitally mediated environments. The series is designed to contribute to interdisciplinary scholarship spanning cognitive science, organizational learning, information systems, and human-centered security, and to support future empirical and applied research across these domains.

References

Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1978). *Organizational learning*. Addison-Wesley.

Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Molvær, A. (2026). The Quantum-Cognitive Maturity Model (QCM²) [Unpublished manuscript; foundational work].

Schacter, D. L., & Addis, D. R. (2007). Constructive memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11*(2), 49–55.

Simon, H. A. (1991). Bounded rationality. *Organization Science, 2*(1), 125–134.

Weick, K. E. (1995). *Sensemaking in organizations*. Sage.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*. PublicAffairs.